

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,043	03/20/2001	John T. McDonald	END920000076US1(13997)	3529
Richard L. Catania., Esq. Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			EXAMINER	
			INGBERG, TODD D	
Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	1
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Todd Ingberg 2124 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. He period for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In role event, however, may a reply be timely filed selection for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In role event, however, may a reply be timely filed selection for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In role event, however, may a reply be timely filed selection for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In role event, however, may a reply be timely filed selection for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In role event, however, may a reply be timely filed or reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In reply with the statisticary minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In reply with the statisticary minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than britiny (50) days. In reply with the statistics, and the period of the communication. A proper selection of the mailtain and the selection of the mailtain galaxima. A proper selection than specified and selection is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under £x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1:15 is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filled on in is/are allowed. 11) The proposed drawing consistent and the state of the selection and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to b	• Office Action Summary		09/814,043	MCDONALD ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover ship et with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of insurary to evaluate under the previous of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In one event, nowever, may a raphy be timely filed. Extensions of the reply seporated above is less than hithy (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If this period for egity seporated above, the maximum statutory protein valing parts will support the protein of the syll protein the major than the statutory protein valing parts will support (40) (40) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If this period for egity seporated by the CRIGE later than there monits after the mailing date of this communication. The statutory protein valing parts will valid the statutory protein and parts and the statutory protein and the statutory protein valid parts will be considered timely. Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14. July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1.16 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1.16 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) All b) Some			Examiner	Art Unit /			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of intensing to evaluate under the provisional of 31 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensional or fineny may be available under the provisional of 31 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensional or fineny may be available under the provisional of 31 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensional or fineny provided and the provisional of 31 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If No period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory people will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. No period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory people will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office state than three membras after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search and galacter term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2003 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.15 is/are rejected to extension and for election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1.15 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 11 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 1.15 is/are is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 12 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 1.15 is/are: a) □ accepted or b)	·		Todd Ingberg	2124			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extracional of time may be available under the providence of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply separities brows is less shan thely (30) days, a reply within the statutory primitimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply separities below, the miseman statutory period will apply and will expire 3K(e) (MONTH's form the mailing date of this communication. Falsive to reply will him the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the separities for the period for reply will be statuted by the communication. Falsive to reply will him the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the separities (30) (a) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some on the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 41) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). Attachment(e) Pro-152	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) aproved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some O None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) Paper No(e). Solution of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). Solution of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(e). Solution of Interview Summary (PTO-415) Paper No(e). Solution of Interview Summary (PTO-415) Paper No(e). Solution of Interview Summary (PTO-415		Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	Julv 2003 .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ○ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ○ approved b) ○ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ○ All b) ○ Some * c) ○ None of: 1 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (to a provisional application). a) ○ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (to a provisional application). a) ○ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (to a provisional application). 20	· _	` `	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·	,—		prosecution as to the merits is			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of Partspersors Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Aknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	·					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	<u> </u>	or election requirement				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 3) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u> </u>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· ·						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 1 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1). Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 1 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
,	2) TNotic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inform	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 15 have been examined.

Claims 1, 6, 11, have been amended.

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

- 2. The new abstract has been entered.
- 3. The new title has been entered but the new title of the invention is not descriptive. This issue will be revisited at time of allowance.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention. Template Software Inc. product **WorkFlow** as documented by the documentation set listed below:

The **Template** product line contains:

The SNAP programming language (Not used in this Office Action)

Art Unit: 2124

The Workflow Template (Two manuals used)

The Web Component (Not used in this Office Action)

These three layered products work together.

The documentation sets for the products contains the following manuals.

SNAP released June 1997

SNAP Language Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Language (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Communication Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Graphic User Interface Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Getting Started with SNAP (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Display Editors (Not used in this Office Action).

SNAP Class Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP External Application Software Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Development Environment (Not used in this Office Action)

SNAP Module Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Using the SNAP Permanent Storage Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Workflow released September 1997

Developing a WFT Workflow System (Referred to as System)

Using the WFT Development Environment (Referred to as Environ)

WFT Library Reference (Not used in this Office Action)

Art Unit: 2124

Web Component

Using the Web Component (Not used in this Office Action)

Since, these products work together they constitute a single reference and can be used as the basis for a rejection based on anticipated by a product offering.

Claim 1

Template Software's commercial product Workflow version 8.0 released in 1997 (Environ) anticipates a method of providing a flexible infrastructure for managing a process (Environ, Chapter 3 must be taken as a whole - WDE page 3-2), comprising: defining a Workflow type (Environ, workitems are the electronic forms, routing is the flow a form can follow, as illustrated page 3-5, figure 3-1); building a workflow pointer that defines the location of a database within a network (Environ, Schema, page 3-3 and Chapter 8, deployment the ability to transfer objects and persistent storage): coding subforms for the defined workflow type (Environ, pages 3-31 to 3-34 roles and the ability to customize routing rules page 3-3, custom, junction, ambiguous etc from table 3-1); displaying the subforms to application users (Environ, Chapter 4, Using the Graphic User Interface Editor, page 4-5, Table 4-2, Custom graphic objects and subwindows (CANVAS) the display is through displays generated by the GUI editor, defining and customizing role documents (Environ, Chapter 7, Application editor ability to assign tasks to specific roles); building process flow documents for the defined workflow type (Environ, routing and flows as per chapter 3 above); and building action control documents for determining what happens to the defined workflow type (Environ, the combination of the above Application/Control Number: 09/814,043

Art Unit: 2124

features working together as depicted in Figure 3-1, page 3-5), and running a set of agents to

generate files that map application defined workflows in pages and actions that can be called and

Page 5

executed (Template is a distributed workflow system as evident by the System, Chapter 6,

Implementing Applications, the Application editor which allows the distribution of the different

applications - each running a type of Application as shown on page 6-4, also in page 6-5 the

directory structure and files are decribed).

Claim 2

A method according to Claim 1, wherein the step of defining the workflow type includes the step

of building a set of keyword documents (Workflow items as per claim 1) into the database that

uniquely identify the workflow type (as illustrated in Figure 3-1).

Claim 3

A method according to Claim 1, wherein the step of defining and customizing role documents

includes the step of building a set of role definition keywords (Environ, the tasks as seen in

Figure 3-1, Order, Refuse etc) and role documents that control the workflow type (Environ.

creating roles, pages 3-22 - 3-24, defining, work items as seen in Figure 3-1, page 3-5 and the

interaction with the roles and the tasks the different roles can perform).

Claim 4

A method according to Claim 1, wherein the defined workflow type uses the process flow

documents to determine what action a given user may perform within a given workflow

Application/Control Number: 09/814,043

Art Unit: 2124

document (Environ, creating roles, pages 3-22 - 3-24, defining, work items as seen in Figure 3-

1, page 3-5 and the interaction with the roles and the tasks the different roles can perform).

Claim 5

A method according to Claim 1, wherein the action control document run is defined by the

process flow documents (Environ, page 3-5, Figure 3-1, the flows a work item can take as

shown in the figure).

Claim 6

A system for providing a flexible infrastructure for managing a process, comprising: means for

defining a workflow type; means for building a workflow pointer that defines the location of a

database within a network; means for coding subforms for the defined workflow type,-means for

defining and customizing role documents; displaying the subforms to application users means for

building process flow documents for the defined workflow type; and means for building action

control documents for determining what happens to the defined workflow type; and running a set

of agents to generate files that map application defined workflows in pages and actions that can

be called and executed. As per claim 1.

Claim 7

A system according to Claim 6, wherein the means for defining the workflow type includes

means for building a set of keyword documents into the database that uniquely identify

the workflow type. As per claim 2.

Claim 8

Page 6

Art Unit: 2124

A system according to Claim 6, wherein the means for defining and customizing role documents includes means for building a set of role definition keywords and role documents that control the workflow type. As per claim 3.

Claim 9

A system according to Claim 6, wherein the defined workflow type uses the process flow documents to determine what action a given user may perform within a given workflow document. As per claim 4.

Claim 10

A system according to Claim 6, wherein the action control document run is defined by the process flow documents. As per claim 5.

Claim 11

A program storage device readable by machine and tangibly embodying a .set of instructions for the machine to perform method steps for providing a flexible infrastructure for managing a process, said method steps comprising: defining a workflow type; building a workflow pointer that defines the location of a database within a network; coding subforms for the defined workflow type; displaying the subforms to application users; defining and customizing role documents; building process flow documents for the defined workflow type; and building action control documents for determining what happens to the defined workflow type; and running a set of agents to generate files that map application defined workflows in pages and actions that can be called and executed. As per claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,043

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 12

A program storage device according to Claim 11. wherein the step of defining the workflow type

Page 8

includes the step of building a set of keyword documents into the database that uniquely identify

the workflow type. As per claim 2.

Claim 13

A program storage device according to Claim 11, wherein the step of defining and customizing

role documents includes the step of building a set of role definition keywords and role documents

that control the workflow type. As per claim 3.

Claim 14

A program storage device according to Claim 11, wherein the defined workflow type uses the

process flow documents to determine what action a given user may perform within a given

workflow document. As per claim 4.

Claim 15

A program storage device according to Claim 11, wherein the action control document run is

defined by the process flow documents. As per claim 5.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not 6.

persuasive.

Art Unit: 2124

Applicant's argument that the reference "Using the WFT Development Environment (Referred to as **Environ**)" by Template Software's product Workflow version 8.0 is not show to have been on sale for more than one year. The Examiner included press releases from 1997 on Templates Software's version 8.0 product line releases. Under *Epstein* 31 USPQ2d 1817 (decided August 17, 1994) the documentation set of Template 8.0 qualify as 35 USC § 102 prior art of record.

Examiner interpreted the word cope to be scope on page 9 of the response. Examiner appreciates the statements on the record. The additional grounds of rejection are intended to show the added limitation. In addition to containers the Template system also supports the ability to write to files, datadases and the functionality is stored in .CD files. Much of the arguments are not clearly seen in the claim language. Including limitations of being web based.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Art Unit: 2124

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Todd Ingberg whose telephone number is (703) 305-9775. The Examiner is working a Maxi-Flex schedule and can be reached Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Kakali Chaki be reached at (703)305-9662. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231, or Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist located on the fourth floor), or faxed. The following fax numbers apply:

Official (703) 746 - 7239

Non Official/ Draft (703) 746 -7240

After Final (703) 746 - 7238

Art Unit: 2124

Todd Ingberg

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2124

August 2, 2003